UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,413	03/30/2001	Mark E. Lundegren	85ER-00114	3205
Armstrong Teas	7590 08/20/200 sdale LLP	8	EXAM	INER
One Metropolitan Square St Louis, MO 63102			PORTER, RACHEL L	
St Louis, MO 6	3102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/681,413	LUNDEGREN, MARK E.				
micerview Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RACHEL L. PORTER	3626				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>RACHEL L. PORTER</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Dan Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 38,880</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11,21 and 29</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Sturgis</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. €	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the shortcomings of the Sturgis reference in particular (e.g. no auction/bididing process) and also, how the Sturgis in combination with the previous references failed to address the claim limitation. Examiner will reconsider rejection in light of a timely filed formal filed written response. Noted that applicant's 6-month deadline is 8/28/08.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	/Rachel L. Porter/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				